

REMARKS

In a telephone call from the Examiner, this application is restricted to Group I, including claims 1-4 directed to the microcapsule, Group II, including claims 5 and 6 directed to the sheet, and Group III, including claim 7 directed to the electrophoretic display.


In response, Applicants elect the Group II claims including claims 5 and 6, which are rewritten as new claims 8 and 12 directed to the sheet.

The present amendment adds new claims 8-15 where claims 8 and 12 are independent. Claims 9-11 and 13-15 correspond to original claims 2-4 and depend from claims 8 and 12, respectively. This election is made with traverse.

The Examiner also requested an election of species for the base material and the binder resin. Applicants elect the acrylic emulsion for the binder resin of claim 8 and ITO (transparent electrode)-having PET film for the base material of claim 9. This election of species is made with traverse.

In view of these amendments, prompt and favorable examination is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Garrett V. Davis

Reg. No. 32,023

Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P.
1300 19th Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 659-9076

Dated: Oct 13, 2005